Iron freeze-in temperatures measured by SOHO/CELIAS/CTOF


Abstract. The CELIAS particle experiment on SOHO contains the Charge Time Of Flight (CTOF) mass spectrometer which measures the ionic and elemental composition of minor ions in the solar wind. In this paper we present iron freeze-in temperatures derived with a time resolution of 5 min. They indicate that some of the filamentary structures of the inner corona observed in Ha survive in the interplanetary medium as far as 1 AU.

1. Introduction

The CELIAS/CTOF time-of-flight (TOF) mass spectrometer [Hovestadt et al., 1995] on board the SOHO mission measures the elemental and ionic composition of the minor ions in the solar wind. On their way from the solar surface through the corona into the interplanetary medium, minor ions become highly ionized by collisions with hot electrons. Because of the decreasing electron density with increasing distance from the solar surface and the decreasing charge exchange rates with decreasing electron temperature, the charge state distributions eventually freeze and remain unaltered throughout their further travel through the heliosphere. Therefore charge spectra measured at 1 AU serve as a valuable diagnostic tool for temperature and density variations in the inner corona [e.g., Owoc et al., 1983]. Coronal temperatures are inferred from density ratios of adjacent charge states. The freeze-in temperature derived from a given density ratio is the electron temperature that reproduces this ratio in a static situation. For the analyzed iron charge states we use the ionization and recombination rates of Arnaud and Raymond [1992].

Measurements of the iron charge state distributions have been performed, e.g., with ICI on ISEE 3 using one freeze-in temperature to describe the charge states of iron in the range from Fe^{10+} to Fe^{13+} [Schmid et al., 1988], and with Ulysses/SWICS, both, in and out of the ecliptic [e.g., Geiss et al., 1992; Galvin et al., 1995; Geiss et al., 1995; Ko et al., 1996]. Because of the high geometric factor of the CTOF sensor and the three-axis stabilization of the SOHO spacecraft, which allows an uninterrupted view of the Sun, sensitivity and duty cycle are significantly increased compared to earlier experiments and result in a considerably improved time resolution.

In the next section we present freeze-in temperatures for different adjacent iron charge states which are derived from the so-called matrix elements of CTOF with high time resolution. Structures observed in the freeze-in temperatures as well as their absolute values are discussed. In Appendix A we describe the data products received from the CTOF sensor and illustrate them with flight data with special emphasis on the iron ions. In Appendix B the data analysis and elements of the instrument response model are presented.

2. Results

The procedure outlined in Appendix B was applied to CTOF data to calculate the freeze-in temperature of the ion pairs Fe^{9+}/Fe^{8+}, Fe^{10+}/Fe^{9+}, Fe^{11+}/Fe^{10+}, and Fe^{12+}/Fe^{11+} from the density ratios of the corresponding charge states with the maximum time resolution of
Figure 1. Coronal freeze-in temperatures for four pairs of iron charge states. The temperatures are determined with the maximum time resolution of five minutes and smoothed with a boxcar average 25 min wide. In the lowest panel the proton speed is displayed. Because of the high sensitivity of CTOF we detect short-scaled features in the freeze-in temperatures indicating small-scale variations of the electron density and temperature in the freeze-in region at a few solar radii.

5 min for an extended period of 35 days in which the solar wind bulk velocity was ranging between 300 and less than 600 km/s. The resulting temperatures have been smoothed with a boxcar average of 25 minutes width. The four freeze-in temperatures displayed in Figure 1 show very similar temporal evolutions with considerable variations on short timescales of a few hours (e.g., at the beginning of DOY 212). The common behavior on short time scales is also seen in the steep decrease of \( T_f \) occurring at the end of DOY 210. In Figure 2 this decrease as well as some other short-scaled features are displayed in detail. Such features can be used to distinguish between temporal and spatial effects as is shown by the following argument. Consider as an example the increase of \( T_f \) which was observed during the first 7 hours of DOY 212. Assuming that such a feature is produced by dispersion of a very short pulse of increased \( T_f \), generated in the solar wind near the freeze-in point, it is possible to derive an upper limit of the thermal speed of iron ions which could cause the dispersion. From the observed width we obtain a dispersion speed of 5 km/s which is much less than the thermal speed of iron of 20 km/s observed at 1 AU for this time period. Thus we discard a purely temporal change of conditions as the origin of this feature. We rather ascribe this increase to the distinct conditions in a laterally confined flux tube which extends into the interplanetary medium. Consequently, the duration of this peak of 7 hours translates to a width of the flux tube on the solar surface of a few \( 10^4 \) km, assuming radial expansion.

Though the slow solar wind is known to vary stronger and faster in its bulk properties than the fast solar wind emerging from coronal holes, our observations suggest that the acceleration process of the slow solar wind conserves also small-scale variations in the coronal source region conditions as far as 1 AU. Such filamentary structures in the corona are observed, e.g., in H\( \alpha \) [Koutchmy,

Figure 2. Coronal freeze-in temperatures for two pairs of iron charge states are shown in greater detail. Both the steep gradient on DOY 210 and the sharp peak on DOY 212 are displayed here. The latter does not seem to be related to any notable change in the proton kinetic parameters. The width of the peak of approximately 7 hours translates to a structure size on the Sun of a few \( 10^4 \) km. Prior to DOY 210.8 both freeze-in temperatures have about the same values but after the drop \( T_{11/10} \) is lower than \( T_{10/9} \). From the sum of the rate coefficients, given for two electron temperatures (1.0 \( \times 10^6 \) K, 1.2 \( \times 10^6 \) K), \( T_{11/10} \) is expected to be higher because it freezes closer to the Sun. \( C_i \) denotes the ionization rate of Fe\(^{19+} \), and \( R_i \) the recombination rate of Fe\(^{19+} \).
The study of small scale structures traced by minor ions in the solar wind in such detail is, to our knowledge, for the first time possible with data from the CTOF sensor since its active area is larger and its duty cycle is higher than those of previous instruments.

Figure 3 displays the good correlation between the freeze-in temperatures \( T_{11/10} \) derived from the ion pair \( \text{Fe}^{10+}/\text{Fe}^{9+} \) and \( T_{11/9} \) derived from the ion pair \( \text{Fe}^{11+}/\text{Fe}^{10+} \) for the whole period analyzed. Though the temperature determinations are intrinsically anticorrelated (see Appendix B) the linear correlation coefficient amounts to 0.84. Typical uncertainties are \( 0.04 \times 10^6 \) K for both temperature estimates.

From the whole period of 35 days the mean persistence time of the iron freeze-in temperatures determined was calculated from exponential fits to the short-term autocorrelation functions of the different temperatures to be 12 hours. This is consistent with the persistence time of the freeze-in temperature of \( \text{O}^{7+}/\text{O}^{6+} \) reported by Bochsler et al. [1997], but there are strong indications of nonstationarity (S. Hefti et al., manuscript in preparation, 1998).

The mean freeze-in temperatures calculated for the time period shown in Figure 1 are given in Table 1. Also, in Table 1 the freeze-in temperatures derived from the charge state spectra integrated over the whole period are given. We see that the two methods yield different values for the freeze-in temperatures. This demonstrates the necessity for high time resolution at least in the variable slow solar wind. From the integrated charge spectrum we derive decreasing temperatures with decreasing charge numbers, whereas the mean values of the temperatures derived with 5-min time resolution do not show this trend.

Since the recombination and ionization rates are not the same for every pair of charge states, freezing is expected to occur at different electron densities. Because higher charge states have lower rate coefficients at a given electron temperature, they are expected to freeze at higher electron densities and, because iron is known to freeze well beyond the coronal temperature maximum, also at higher electron temperature. Thus freeze-in temperatures derived from iron ions with higher charges should be higher. However, the averaged temperatures contradict this picture and imply a positive electron temperature gradient even at distances of a few solar radii from the solar surface whereas the temperatures drawn from the averaged charge spectrum do not show such an intriguing result. Possible uncertainties of the rate coefficients will not account for this implausible positive temperature gradient as long as the higher charged ions have lower rate coefficients. However, the presence of suprathermal electrons not considered in the above discussion might alter the rate coefficients sufficiently to explain the observations.

The results of Ulysses/SWICS data derived by Calvin et al. [1995] and by Geiss et al. [1995] imply that \( T_{11/10} \) is lower than \( T_{10/9} \) in the coronal hole type solar wind. This also disagrees with the simple picture of negative density and temperature gradient. Based on a 100 day average of polar coronal hole type solar wind, Ko et al. [1996] derived freeze-in temperatures for different species including iron, and indicate that \( T_{11/10} \) is higher than \( T_{10/9} \). The iron freeze-in temperatures observed in the slow solar wind (\( v_p < 600 \) km/s) based on CELIAS/CTOF measurements are consistent with the corresponding relative ionic abundances of low charged iron ions in the slow solar wind derived by Geiss et al. [1992] based on Ulysses/SWICS data. The iron freeze-in temperatures derived from CTOF data are, however, lower than the values derived from SWICS in the coronal hole associated solar wind, though the maximum electron temperature in the polar coronal holes is known to be lower than in the equatorial regions.

### Table 1. Mean Freeze-in Temperatures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ion Pair</th>
<th>( T_f )</th>
<th>( T_f ) From Mean Charge Distribution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \text{Fe}^{9+}/\text{Fe}^{8+} )</td>
<td>( 1.11 \times 10^6 ) K</td>
<td>( 1.11 \times 10^6 ) K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{Fe}^{10+}/\text{Fe}^{9+} )</td>
<td>( 1.10 \times 10^6 ) K</td>
<td>( 1.12 \times 10^6 ) K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{Fe}^{11+}/\text{Fe}^{10+} )</td>
<td>( 1.09 \times 10^6 ) K</td>
<td>( 1.13 \times 10^6 ) K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{Fe}^{12+}/\text{Fe}^{11+} )</td>
<td>( 1.19 \times 10^6 ) K</td>
<td>( 1.26 \times 10^6 ) K</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
This reflects the fact that in the polar coronal holes a
given ion pair freezes closer to the Sun due to the lower
electron density and/or the faster outflow of the ions.
While the lower electron density leads to an increase
of the charge modification time, the fast outflow speed
shortens the expansion time of the solar wind.

The fact that $T_{10/9}$ is generally higher than $T_{11/10}$ in
the slow solar wind is also seen from Figure 3. At lower
$T_{11/10}$ this is most pronounced whereas at higher $T_{11/10}$
the difference is getting smaller. In Figure 2 the same
observation is seen in an example of a fast transition
from high freeze-in temperatures to low freeze-in tem-
peratures. Prior to the steep decrease on DOY 210.8
both freeze-in temperatures have about the same value.
After the drop, $T_{11/10}$ is lower than $T_{10/9}$ though from
the corresponding rate coefficients it is expected to be
higher for the lower as well for the higher tempera-
ture. A more detailed analysis will show how far these
observations could be reconciled with plausible electron
temperature profiles in the inner corona once the impact
of suprathermal electrons is better understood.

3. Conclusions

First iron charge state spectra obtained from SOHO/
CELIAS/CTOF illustrate the excellent time resolution
that is achieved in determining coronal electron tem-
peratures with this sensor. The rapid and consistent
changes in the freeze-in temperature calculated from
four pairs of iron charge states confirm the patchy struc-
ture of the corona with length scales of some $10^4$ km and
reveal the survival of these structures from a few solar
radii throughout 1 AU.

Appendix A: On-Board Classification
and Data Products

The classification of CTOF data in the digital pro-
cessing unit (DPU) approximates the mass per charge
of a detected particle

$$M/Q = f(\tau, E/Q)$$

(A1)

using a polynomial expansion with a total of nine coeffi-
cients. The TOF is denoted by $\tau$ and $E/Q$ is the energy
per charge of the particle when entering the instrument.
The approximation of the mass of a particle

$$M = g(\tau, E_{sad})$$

(A2)

uses a polynomial expansion with six coefficients. These
numerical approximations include the energy loss in the
carbon foil and the nuclear defect in the solid state de-
tector, respectively. The 15 coefficients have been im-
plemented according to the results of the final flight
model calibration.

The major block of telemetry data contains the spectral
information in the form of (1) pulse height raw data
($E/Q$, $\tau$, and $E_{sad}$), (2) spectral matrix rates (SMR),
and (3) matrix elements (ME). These three types of
data products are described and illustrated in the fol-
lowing subsections. While only a fraction of events is
transmitted as pulse height data, all events detected are
contained in the SMR and ME.

A.1. Pulse Height Data

The pulse height data consist of the TOF $\tau$, the en-
ergy measured by the solid state detector $E_{sad}$, and the
$E/Q$ step, at which the ion was registered. Because
of the large effective area of the sensor of 16 mm$^2$ and
the limited telemetry rate, not all pulse height analysis
(PHA) words can be transmitted. Nevertheless, PHA
raw data are indispensable for careful data analysis and
in-flight calibration. In Figure A1, some of the accumu-
lated PHA words of day 196 in 1996 are shown. The
large amount of approximately 112,000 PHA words ac-
cumulated from iron ions during 1 day of operation in
the solar wind reflects the high sensitivity of the sensor.
The charge state peaks are well separated and can eas-
ily be identified. The abscissa indicates the measured
TOF and the ordinate is the value of $E/Q$. The width
of the peaks along the TOF axis reflects the energy
straggling in the carbon foil, which leads to a variation
in the TOF. The angular scattering in the carbon foil
and at the grids in the TOF section leads to an in-
crease of the path length, which is less important for
the width of the peaks along the TOF axis. Because of
the thermal velocity distribution of the solar wind ions
and the bulk velocity variations during the period of ac-
cumulation, the individual peaks are also spread along
the $E/Q$ axis. Despite this spreading the identification
of the iron species is simple since iron is much heavier than the equally abundant silicon and sulfur which only interfere at lower \(M/Q\) values. Atypical for the quiet solar wind are large amounts of highly ionized iron ions such as \(\text{Fe}^{16+}\) and \(\text{Fe}^{15+}\). Although the telemetry restriction becomes more important at lower \(M/Q\), the measured \(\text{Fe}^{16+}\) and \(\text{Fe}^{15+}\) fluxes are higher than the flux of \(\text{Fe}^{14+}\).

### A.2. Spectral Matrix Rates

The spectral matrix rates (SMR) contain 508 individual boxes in the \(M - M/Q\) matrix of the PHA data. This matrix is a two-dimensional histogram in which events classified by the on-board processing of the primary quantities \(E/Q\), \(\tau\), and \(E_{\text{sed}}\) using (A1) and (A2) are accumulated. Each of these 508 boxes in the \(M - M/Q\) table has a third dimension containing a reduced \(E/Q\) information from which the kinetic parameters are derived. The total size of the SMR is \(508 \times 21\) entries, reducing the event space by more than 3 orders of magnitude compared to the PHA data.

### A.3. Matrix Elements

The matrix elements (ME) consist of 1608 distinct boxes in the \(M - M/Q\) table and have a higher resolution in mass and mass per charge than the SMR. However, the \(E/Q\) spectral information is lost in the ME because they are accumulated over a full cycle of \(E/Q\) stepping. Like the SMR the ME are transmitted once per sensor cycle, i.e., every 5 min. The densities of the individual iron charge states are derived from ME data.

### Appendix B: Data Analysis

This section summarizes some key elements of the data analysis. First, we describe the general approach to the data analysis and then present some elements of the instrument response model. By the determination of the freeze-in temperatures based on data from one instrument cycle of 5 min duration we demonstrate the high temporal resolution of the CTOF sensor.

#### B.1. Extracting the Iron Densities

To obtain the densities of the individual species we apply the forward modelling technique. Since we intend to use ME for the determination of densities, we construct an instrument response model for a given species \(k\), denoted by \(G_{ik}(v_{ok}, T_k, M_k, Q_k)\), that yields the expected number of counts in every ME \(i\) given the densities of the individual species by \(n_k\). We assume Poisson statistics to hold, and thus we invert (B1) for the densities by maximizing the logarithm of the likelihood

\[
\ln L = \sum_{i=1}^{l} d_i \ln(\mu_i) - \mu_i + \text{const} \tag{B2}
\]

where \(\bar{d}\) denotes the counts measured in the \(l\) selected matrix elements. Given the solar wind kinetic properties, 250 matrix elements in the iron region in the \(M - M/Q\) table were inverted to yield densities of the individual iron charge states from \(\text{Fe}^{7+}\) to \(\text{Fe}^{16+}\). In this model the contributions from the neighboring silicon and sulfur ions are taken into account. However, these corrections are only relevant for the higher charge states of iron.

The forward model assumes equal flow and thermal velocities for all iron charge states. The flow velocity was set equal to the flow velocity of \(\text{O}^{6+}\) derived by Hefti [1997], and the thermal velocity of the iron ions is based on the thermal velocity of \(\text{O}^{6+}\) by assuming a mass-proportional temperature. It was found by inversion of synthetic spectra that deviations of the thermal velocity from the true value are not critical to the density estimate. If the flow speed deviates from the true value by a certain percentage, the deconvolved density

\[
\mu_i = G_{i1} n_1 + G_{i2} n_2 + \ldots + G_{iK} n_K \tag{B1}
\]
was shown to deviate approximately by the same relative amount from its true value. Thus, if we assume equal velocities for two charge states which differ in speed by 1% the error in the density ratio of these two charge states is approximately 1%, which is below the typical statistical uncertainty of the density estimate in one cycle of 5 min. If the velocities are equal, then a deviation from the true velocity does not affect the resulting freeze-in temperatures, since they are calculated from ratios of densities.

The inversion algorithm was tested by the inversion of 50,000 synthetic spectra that were obtained from the forward model by application of a Poisson random number generator. Given the total density of iron we assumed one single electron temperature to derive the charge state distribution \( T_e = 1.15 \times 10^6 \, \text{K} \). For a grid of 50 values of total iron densities the relative deviations of the inverted density of Fe\(^{10+} \) were derived. The resulting histogram is shown in Figure B1. The relative uncertainty increases with decreasing total iron density, and we get an unbiased estimate for the Fe\(^{10+} \) density for a total iron density as low as approximately \( 25 \, \text{m}^{-3} \) if we know the kinetic parameters precisely. For the about equally abundant Fe\(^{10+} \) ion a similar result is obtained whereas the less prominent charge states are determined with larger uncertainties. To derive those densities a longer integration time is required to decrease the uncertainties. An example of the freeze-in temperature determination using 5 min of CTOF data is shown in section B.3.

### B.2. Elements of the Instrument Response Model

For a Maxwellian distribution function of temperature \( T_k \) describing a solar wind species \( k \) of mass \( M_k \), charge \( Q_k \) drifting radially away from the Sun with a speed \( v_0 \), we derived an approximate expression for the number of particles passing through the electrostatic analyzer at a given step \( j \) per unit time

\[
c_{jk} \approx n_k A \left( \frac{v_{0k} + \frac{kT_{nk}}{2\varepsilon v_{jk}}}{1 + \frac{kT_{nk}}{2\varepsilon v_{jk}}} \right)^{3/2} \times \left[ \frac{v_{jk} \left( v_{0k} + \frac{kT_{nk}}{2\varepsilon v_{jk}} \right)}{v_{0k} \left( v_{jk} + \frac{kT_{nk}}{2\varepsilon v_{jk}} \right)} \right] \times \exp \left( -\left( \frac{v_{0k} - v_{jk}}{2(kT_{nk} + v_{0k}^2)} \right) \right) \times \left[ 2\Phi \left( \frac{\alpha_c}{\sqrt{\frac{kT_{nk}}{v_{0k}^2}} \beta_c} \right) - 1 \right] \times \left[ 2\Phi \left( \frac{\beta_c}{\sigma_{\beta}} \right) - 1 \right]^{-1} \times \left[ 2\Phi \left( \frac{\beta_c}{\sqrt{\frac{kT_{nk}}{v_{0k}^2} + \sigma_{\beta}^2}} \right) \right]^{-1}
\]

\[
(B3)
\]

The density of this species is denoted by \( n_k \) and \( T_{nk} \) denotes the temperature per mass. The quantity \( v_{jk}(M_k, Q_k) \) is the acceptance speed of the species \( k \) at step \( j \), i.e., the speed at which the transmission through the entrance system reaches its maximum. Selecting particles with an energy per charge value of \( U_j \) at step \( j \) the acceptance speed equals

\[
v_{jk} = \sqrt{2Q_k U_j / M_k}
\]

\[
(B4)
\]

The parameters \( \alpha_c, \beta_c, \sigma_{\beta}, \) and \( \varepsilon_c \) describing the angular and speed acceptance of the entrance system as well as the active area \( A \) have been derived from ground calibration \([\text{Hefti, 1997}]\) and are summarized in Table B1. In the limiting case of very low kinetic temperature the count rate \( c_{jk} \) equals approximately \( N v_{0k} A \) for the appropriate step number \( j \).

For both (A1) and (A2), the TOF response of the ions have to be known in order to model accurately the instrument response in the \( M - M/Q \) domain. The TOF peaks are described in terms of position and width. These parameters are determined for four different charge states at different step numbers \( j \) for an extended period of time from in-flight PHA data. Representing the energy loss \( \Delta E \) in the carbon foil by a linear function of the total energy \( E_{tot} \) prior to the carbon foil passage, the inverse TOF square is a linear function of \( E_{tot} \)

\[
\frac{1}{\tau^2} = a Q \left( \frac{E}{Q} + U_{acc} \right) + b
\]

\[
(B5)
\]

Additionally, the charge state assignment of iron ions is done by means of (B5). Depending upon the charge state assignment we get different values for the parameters \( a \) and \( b \) of the linear fit. Figure B2 displays the residuals from the corresponding linear model for three different charge state assignments. We conclude that the assignment chosen for the middle panel holds since it produces the smallest reduced \( \chi^2 \). The assignments that differ by one elementary charge show strong systematic deviations from the linear model and produce also larger reduced \( \chi^2 \) and are therefore ruled out. Furthermore, the highest charge state observed with the charge state assignment adopted for the smallest \( \chi^2 \) is Fe\(^{16+} \), whereas in the other cases it would correspond to Fe\(^{18+} \) and Fe\(^{17+} \), respectively. Since Fe\(^{19+} \) ions have

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table B1. Entrance System Parameters for CELIAS/CTOF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \alpha_c )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \beta_c )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \sigma_{\beta} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \varepsilon_c )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( A^* )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\*Hovestadt et al. (1995) gave erroneously a value of 8 mm².
Figure B2. Deviations from the linear model used to describe the inverse TOF square as a function of the total energy before the carbon foil passage for three different charge state assignments. The charge assignment in the middle panel produces a reduced $\chi^2$ of 1.1. If the actual charge assignment is shifted by one charge to (top) higher or (bottom) lower values, the residuals, and thus the reduced $\chi^2$, increase considerably. Therefore, these possibilities can be ruled out. A 2-$\sigma$ error typical for all residuals is shown in the middle panel.

B.3. The Iron Freeze-in Temperature Determined With Highest Time Resolution

We derive freeze-in temperatures from the density ratio of adjacent iron charge states. The freeze-in temperatures of the ion pairs Fe$^{8+}$/Fe$^{9+}$ and Fe$^{9+}$/Fe$^{8+}$ were calculated from the density estimates based on 5 min of data. Numerical analysis of these data gives for $T_{8/9} = (1.09 \pm 0.07) \times 10^6$ K. 

Figure B3. Contour plot of the natural logarithm of the likelihood. At the solution of the inversion problem, the likelihood takes on its maximum. The determination of the temperatures is anticorrelated, i.e., if one temperature is underestimated, the other is overestimated. For this temperature estimate, ME data of one instrument cycle (5 min) were used.
The estimates of the uncertainties of the freeze-in temperatures were derived from the covariance matrix of the densities, i.e., the inverted Hessian matrix, using the laws of error propagation. Similarly, the linear correlation coefficient between the two temperature determinations is calculated to be $r = -0.50$. That means that the two freeze-in temperatures cannot be independently determined.

In Figure B3 the natural logarithm of the likelihood is shown as a function of both freeze-in temperatures. The coordinates of the maximum of the likelihood give the solution of the inversion problem, and the curvature at the maximum is a measure for the statistical uncertainty. The sharper the maximum, the smaller is the uncertainty of the parameter estimate. For this calculation, the sum of the densities of Fe$^{8+}$ to Fe$^{10+}$ was set to the sum of their optimal estimations, and all other densities were individually set to their optimal values. The set of optimal densities $n_k$ maximizes the likelihood given in (B2). The fact that $T_9/8$ and $T_{10}/9$ may not be determined independently is evident in Figure B3 from the tilt of the ridge relative to the coordinate axes. Note that this anticorrelation is only introduced by the inversion algorithm and by the resolution of the matrix elements. In a given matrix element, there may be contributions from two adjacent charge states. Thus, if the abundance of one charge state is overestimated, the other charge state is lowered. However, from a physical point of view one clearly expects the temperatures to correlate.
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